

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,605	08/24/2006	Yuji Kurokawa	Q96663	8959
23373 7590 03/20/2009 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			TRUONG, BAO Q	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	2875		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/590,605 KUROKAWA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BAO Q. TRUONG 2875 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-9 and 15-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 23 and 24 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.5-9.15.16 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 17-20 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 November 2007 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsporson's Fatent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/590,605

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

 Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 35(1a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blieske et al. [US 7,368,655 B2].

Regarding claim 1, Blieske et al. discloses a light diffusing sheet comprising a light-transmitting resin, having a light emission side which is one surface and a light entrance side which is another surface, characterized by having fine recesses formed in the light emission side which is one surface, the fine recesses having a shape which is any of the shape of an inverted polyangular pyramid, the shape of an inverted truncated polyangular pyramid, the shape of an inverted truncated cone, and the shape of an inverted truncated cone (figures 1-6, whole document).

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claim 5, Blieske et al. discloses the recesses have been regularly arranged (figures 1-6).

Regarding claim 6, Blieske et al. discloses the bevel between the surface having fine recesses formed and each inclined face of each fine recess having the shape of an inverted polyangular pyramid or inverted truncated polyangular pyramid, or the bevel between that surface and the ridgeline of each fine recess having the shape of an inverted cone or inverted truncated cone is 15-70 degree (figures 1-6, column 3 lines 15-27, column 4 lines 47-54).

 Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim [US 6,836,303 B2].

Regarding claim 1, Kim discloses a light diffusing sheet comprising a lighttransmitting resin, having a light emission side which is one surface and a light entrance
side which is another surface, characterized by having fine recesses formed in the light
emission side which is one surface, the fine recesses having a shape which is any of
the shape of an inverted polyangular pyramid, the shape of an inverted truncated
polyangular pyramid, the shape of an inverted cone, and the shape of an inverted
truncated cone (figure 8, whole document).

 Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McCollum et al. [US 7,108,414 B2]. Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claim 1, McCollum et al. discloses a light diffusing sheet comprising a light-transmitting resin, having a light emission side which is one surface and a light entrance side which is another surface, characterized by having fine recesses formed in the light emission side which is one surface, the fine recesses having a shape which is any of the shape of an inverted polyangular pyramid, the shape of an inverted truncated polyangular pyramid, the shape of an inverted truncated cone, and the shape of an inverted truncated cone (figures 19, 21, 31, whole document).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2, 7-9, 15, 16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blieske et al. in view of Takeuchi et al. [US 5,944,405].

Regarding claim 2, Blieske et al. discloses a light diffusing sheet but does not disclose the light diffusing agent.

Takeuchi et al. discloses the light diffusing agent (figures 1-3, column 1 lines 42-50).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sheet of Blieske et al. with the diffusing agents as

Art Unit: 2875

taught by Takeuchi et al. for purpose of providing an advantageous way of increasing diffused light as design.

Regarding claim 7, Blieske et al. discloses the bevel between the surface having fine recesses formed and each inclined face of each fine recess having the shape of an inverted polyangular pyramid or inverted truncated polyangular pyramid, or the bevel between that surface and the ridgeline of each fine recess having the shape of an inverted cone or inverted truncated cone is 35-70 degree (figures 1-6, column 3 lines 15-27, column 4 lines 47-54).

Regarding claim 8, Blieske et al. discloses the proportion of the area occupied by the fine recesses in the surface having the fine recesses formed is 30-100% (figures 1-6)

Regarding claim 9, Blieske et al. discloses the fine recesses have been formed in an oblique-line arrangement (figures 1-6).

Regarding claim 15, Blieske et al. discloses a light diffusing sheet comprising a light-transmitting resin, having a light emission side which is one surface and a light entrance side which is another surface, characterized by having fine recesses formed in the light emission side which is one surface, the fine recesses having a shape which is any of the shape of an inverted polyangular pyramid, the shape of an inverted truncated polyangular pyramid, the shape of an inverted truncated cone, wherein the fine recesses have been formed in an oblique-line arrangement, the bevel between the surface having fine recesses formed and each

Art Unit: 2875

inclined face of each fine recess having the shape of an inverted polyangular pyramid or inverted truncated polyangular pyramid, or the bevel between that surface and the ridgeline of each fine recess having the shape of an inverted cone or inverted truncated cone is 35-70 degree; and the proportion of the area occupied by the fine recesses in the surface having the fine recesses formed is 30-100% (figures 1-6, column 3 lines 15-27, column 4 lines 47-54). However, Blieske et al. does not disclose the light diffusing agent.

Takeuchi et al. discloses the light diffusing agent (figures 1-3, column 1 lines 42-50).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sheet of Blieske et al. with the diffusing agents as taught by Takeuchi et al. for purpose of providing an advantageous way of increasing diffused light as design.

Regarding claim 16, Takeuchi et al. discloses a core layer [12] made of a lighttransmitting resin and laminated to the surface on the side opposite to the surface having fine recesses formed (figures 13, 28).

Regarding claim 21, Blieske et al. discloses a light diffusing sheet which has a thickness of 0.3-5 mm (column 6 lines 4-9), and has been disposed in front of a light source when combination.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,605 Page 7

Art Unit: 2875

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 17, 18, 19, 20 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 17 recites the core layer contains a light diffusing agent, which are not disclosed or suggested by the prior of record.

Claims 18 and 20 recite a functional layer having light-transmitting properties has been laminated to the surface on the side opposite to the surface having fine recesses formed, and the functional layer having light-transmitting properties comprises an ultraviolet-absorbing layer and/or an antistatic layer, which are not disclosed or suggested by the prior of record.

Claims 19 and 22 recites the surface on the side opposite to the surface having fine recesses formed has recesses and protrusions which are finer than the fine recesses, which are not disclosed or suggested by the prior of record.

Claims 23-24 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 2875

Claim 23 recites, in combination, the surface on the side opposite to the surface having fine recesses formed has recesses and protrusions which are finer than the fine recesses, which are not disclosed or suggested by the prior of record.

Claim 24 is dependent on claim 23.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/11/2008 regarding to claim 1 in view of Blieske et
 IUS 7,368,655 B2] have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made.

The applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

11. Applicant's arguments, see Remark pages 5-6, filed 12/11/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and its dependent claims under 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Blieske et al. [US 7,368,655 B2], Kim [US 6,836,303 B2], and McCollum et al. [US 7,108,414 B2].

Art Unit: 2875

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BAO Q. TRUONG whose telephone number is (571)272-2383. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 AM - 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra L. O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bao Q. Truong/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875